

REMARKS

Examiner Kayes issues the following three statutory prior art rejections:

(1) Claims 15-19, 23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Koch '984;

(2) Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable (obvious) over Koch '984 in view of Megner '569; and

(3) Claims 20 and 22 [sic, 21?] are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable (obvious) over Koch in view of Megner and further in view of Baumgartner '485.

With respect to rejection (3) and in view of the Examiner's comments therein, it appears that rejection (3) should be directed to only claim 21.

As a result, **claims 24-27 are not rejected**, but the Examiner does not include the standard language stating that such claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In any event, Applicant cancels claim 26 and adds the limitations thereof to the independent parent claim 15 (wherein claim 15 is now equal to claims 15 + 26).

The limitations of claim 26 are not taught, or even suggested, by Koch, Megner or Baumgartner, taken in any combination.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and 103(a), and to find the application to be in condition for allowance with claims 15-25, 27 and 28.

With respect to the amended claim 15 (15+26), it is noted that neither Koch nor either of the secondary references, taken in any combination, teaches or suggests that the antenna be

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111
U.S. APPLN. NO. 10/571,198

advantageously oriented substantially upwards in order to optimize reception of the GPS signals at the natural position of a person's wrist when the person reads data on the display device 5 (see specification page 6, lines 30, to page 7, line 1).

If for any reason the Examiner feels that the application is not now in condition for allowance, he is respectfully requested to **call the undersigned attorney** to discuss any unresolved issues and to expedite the disposition of the application.

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this application, and any required fee for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. The Commissioner is also authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and/or § 1.17 necessary to keep this application pending in the Patent and Trademark Office or credit any overpayment to said Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/John H. Mion/
John H. Mion
Registration No. 18,879

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
(202) 663-7901

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 25, 2008